KENAI PENINSULA BOROUGH SCHOOL DISTRICT

148 North Binkley Street Soldotna, Alaska 99669-7553 Phone (907) 714-8888 Fax (907) 262-9132

www.kpbsd.k12.ak.us

SCHOOL BOARD COMMUNICATION						
Title:	District Improvement Plan					
Date:	10/9/12	Item Number:	Worksession			
Administrator:	Tim Vlasak, Director of K12/Assessment/Federal Programs Through Sean Dusek, Assistant Superintendent					
Attachments:	District Improvement Plan State					
Action Needed	For Discussion X Information	Other:				
BACKGROUND INFORMATION						
Preliminary AYP data provided by the state in mid-September suggested that the district will move from level 1 to level 2 of improvement. At level 2 the district is required to submit a District Improvement Plan.						
I will explain the steps taken to develop the district improvement plan and will seek additional input from the public and board.						
Plan Attached.	Plan Attached.					
ADMINISTD ATIVE	ADMINISTRATIVE RECOMMENDATION					

ADMINISTRATIVE RECOMMENDATION

No action necessary

Alaska Department of Education & Early Development

2012-2013 District Improvement Plan Submission Packet



Due to EED – October 1, 2012

Contact:

Sheila Box, School Improvement Program Manager Alaska Department of Education & Early Development 801 West 10th Street, Suite 200 PO Box 110500, Juneau, AK 99811-0500 sheila.box@alaska.gov (907) 465-8743

www.eed.alaska.gov

Overview of 2012-2013 District Improvement Plan Process

Each district receiving Title I funds that is identified at Level 2 or above is required by NCLB and Alaska statute and regulations to **create or revise a District Improvement Plan that meets federal and state requirements**. This plan should be reflective of the district's needs as a whole based on the analysis of student achievement data, demographic data and perception data. The needs of the district are identified through this initial data analysis and analyzed further to determine the causes for being unsuccessful in meeting AYP in relation to specific subject areas as well as subgroups. From this analysis, the district identifies district-wide goals and actions in which to assist the teachers and district staff in meeting the goals. These goals will be reflected in the School Improvement Plans including actions to guide implementation based on the needs of the individual school site.

District Improvement Plans are due to EED no later than October 1, 2012.

Note: District Improvement Plans are being requested first to ensure the goals, as based on student achievement data, are identified prior to the writing of School Improvement Plans. School Improvement Plans should be driven by district goals with objectives identified that share the district goals with strategies and actions selected by the site to match the students being served. School Improvement Plans are now due at EED no later than October 1, 2012, but will be accepted earlier if reviewed and approved by the district.

EED will review the District Improvement Plan to determine that federal and state requirements are met. If the plan does not meet the requirements, the department will contact the district within 3 working days of receipt of the plan to specify any revisions needed to meet the federal and state requirements.

Consequences for Districts

District Improvement Plans that meet all federal and state requirements must be received by EED on or before October 1, 2012 or federal and state payments will be withheld until receipt.

If the implementation of a District Improvement Plan does not result in making adequate yearly progress, the department will be required to take progressive consequences. Per 4 AAC 06.840(h), the department **may** take appropriate action while a district is at Level 2 or 3. The department **will be required** to take one of the corrective actions specified in 4 AAC 06.840(k) once a district has reached **Level 4**.

Required elements of District Improvement Plan

4 AAC 06.850(b) 06.880; 1116 (c) (6 & 7)

PI	an Requirement	EED Review Criteria	
1.	Notify all district parents by direct means (regular mail, email, school newsletters) as well as indirect means (internet, publications) of the reasons for the identification for improvement and how parents can participate in upgrading the quality of the local educational agency.	Description of notification process provided, copy of parent notification included.	
2.	Consult with parents, school staff, and other interested persons to write plan.	Provide list of names of participants showing representation from each group.	
3.	Address the teaching and learning needs in the schools of the district and the specific academic problems of low-achieving students, including a determination of why any of the district's prior plans failed to bring about increased student academic performance.	Describe why district's prior plans have not succeeded in improving student achievement.	

Form # 05-12-041

4.	Cover a two-year period (submitted one year at a time);	Include timeline and dates for current school year.
5.	Incorporate scientifically based research strategies that strengthen the core academic program in the schools served by the district.	Briefly describe scientifically based research for each instructional strategy
		or curriculum proposed.
6.	Identify actions that have the greatest likelihood of improving the achievement of students in meeting the academic performance requirements in 4 AAC 06.810.	Strategies proposed target reasons for not making AYP.
7.	Address professional development needs of the instructional staff.	Professional development description provided in plan. This may include professional development already described in other plans such as the NCLB application.
8.	Spend 10% of district Title IA allocation each year for professional development.	Signature required on cover/assurance page.
9.	Include specific measurable achievement objectives and targets for all students collectively and each subgroup of students.	Measurable objective(s) and target(s) provided.
10	. Incorporate, as appropriate, activities before school, after school, during the summer, and	Extended learning opportunities
	during an extension of the school year.	described if included in plan.
11	. Specify any technical assistance to be provided to the district.	Describe technical assistance, if any, to be provided to the district.
12	. Include strategies to promote effective parental involvement in the schools served by the district.	Parent involvement strategies provided in plan.

District Improvement Process

The department recommends a continuous improvement planning process. In the improvement process you may wish to include the following steps to ensure you are addressing the academic needs of your students. The process might contain the following steps:

- 1) analysis of data (achievement, demographic, perception);
- 2) determine measurable goals as based on needs identified through data analysis;
- 3) identify actions for implementation to support the goals (these will include professional development and parent involvement);
- 4) identify ways to monitor progress and evaluate meeting of the goals; and
- 5) monitor implementation and effectiveness of plan.

The process and plan presented are not intended to replace other more comprehensive reform or improvement efforts, but rather to complement those processes and focus on the specific areas that are causing the district to not meet adequate yearly progress targets.

The following companion document is available on the Department of Education website under Forms & Grants, School/District Improvement (http://www.eed.alaska.gov/forms/home).

• School Improvement Plan Resource Guide: An optional step by step guide through the school improvement planning process.



District Improvement Plan School Years 2012-2013 Cover Sheet

District AYP Level (check one):	2 X 3 Year						
District Name:	Kenai Peninsula Borough School District						
Superintendent's Name:	Dr. Steve Atwater						
District Mailing Address:	148 N Binkley						
City:	Soldotna						
AK – Zip:	99669						
Phone (907)	907-714-8888						
Fax (907)	907-714-6354						
Superintendent's Email:	ail: satwater@kpbsd.k12.ak.us						
District Improvement Contact:	Tim Vlasak						
Phone (907)	907-714-8862						
Fax (907)	907-262-6354						
District Contact Email:	tvlasak@kpbsd.k12.ak.us						

Superintendent's Signature:							
Date:							

Check each cell in the following table to identify the areas in which the district did NOT meet

AYP:

	All students	Ethnic group	SWD	LEP	Low-Income
Language Arts		Native Alaskan	X	X	X
Math		Native Alaskan	X		X
Participation					
Grad Rate	Two or more races & SWD				

1. Describe why the district's prior plans have not succeeded in improving student achievement.

KPBSD has not been required by the State to develop a district plan for improvement since 2004-05.

In 2009-2010 KPBSD performed a curriculum audit through Phi Delta Kappa and as a result identified three goals upon which all instructional decisions are based: Collaboration, Student Engagement, and Effective Instruction. The district is transitioning toward full implementation of the goals with the expectation of improved student performance and graduation rates.

- 2. a. Describe the process used to notify all parents of the district status and of their opportunities to be involved in addressing the issues that caused the district to be identified for improvement.
 - b. Please provide a copy of the notification parents received.

KPBSD used all available media outlets (radio, newspapers, district and school web-sites, school site council and committee meetings, Federal Programs Committee, School Board meeting) to notify parents and community members of the district's status and of the opportunities to be involved in addressing the issues.

c.	Describe any technical assistance, if any, to be provided to the district in developing or
	implementing the plan. (Please contact the department if technical assistance is needed from
	EED.)

ſ		
l		

District Improvement Planning Team

Regulation requires a district to consult with a variety of participants from the schools and the community: teachers, administrators, other school staff, parents, and the community to be served. Please list members of the team and their roles.

	Role within the district/school/community	Roles/Responsibilities tied to District	
Printed Name	(i.e. 4 th Grade teacher, PTA parent,	Improvement Plan	
	etc.)		
Parents:	D (0.1)		
Jeremy Cook	Parent & Nanwalek Title VII representative	Input	
Chrystal Moon	Parent & Tyonek Title VII	Input	
-	representative	•	
Teachers & other staff:	I 0	T	
Fenya Basargin	Secretary/Parent	Input	
Mary Blossom	Secretary/Parent	Input	
Kelly King	Homeless Liaison	Input	
Sandy Miller	Assistant Director Federal Programs	Program Monitoring	
Hannah Toporek Teresa Kiffmeyer	ELL Coordinator Native Youth Leadership sponsor	Program Monitoring	
Tim Vlasak		Dragon Manitaring	
i im viasak	Director Federal Programs, k-12 schools and assessments	Program Monitoring	
Alan Gee	Principal Homer HS/Parent	Input	
Doug Waclawski	Assistant Principal Homer HS/Parent	Input	
Pegge Erkeneff	Communications Specialist	Input	
Karen Wessel	Principal Homer Flex	Input	
Mike Wojciak	Principal Voz k-12	Input	
Ksenia Kuzman	Secretary/Parent	Input	
Trooma Trainan	Coordia. y/r uroni		
Additional Members:	<u></u>	,	
Jan Kornstad	Community Member	Federal Programs Committee Member	
Mike Peterson	Executive Director Project Grad	Implementation Support	
Jane Stein	Community Member	Input	
Bruce Johnson	Executive Director of AK	Input	
	Association of Superintendents		
Joe Arness	KPBSD School Board	Input	
Marty Anderson	KPBSD School Board	Input	
Sammy Crawford	KPBSD School Board	Input	
Liz Downing	KPBSD School Board	Input	
Sunni Hilts	KPBSD School Board	Input	
Bill Holt	KPBSD School Board	Input	
Tim Navarre	KPBSD School Board	Input	
Penny Vadla	KPBSD School Board	Input	
Annaleah Ernst	KPBSD School Board Student Rep.	Input	

Form # 05-12-041

DISTRICT IMPROVEMENT PLAN 2012-2013 School Year Complete one sheet for each goal; expand sections as appropriate

ICT MEASURABLE GOAL (to include specific target):

bgroups will meet the Language Arts AMO either by meeting the threshold of 82.88%, or Confidence Interval, or Safe r as measured on Spring 2013 SBA's

ENT PERFORMANCE LEVEL ON SBAs:

Native 74%, Economically Disadvantaged 81%, SWD 60%, and LEP 68%

ifically based research to support each strategy listed below (reference or brief description):

no's research on effective instruction; DuFour and Eaker 's research on effective collaboration and learning communities; Muhammad's wor rming school culture; Danielson's work *Enhancing Professional Practices: A Framework for teaching*.

				PROGRESS MON	NITORING AND EVALUATION
Strategies and ntions (include include include include include include ing, parent involvementgrams)	TIMELINE Milestones for current school year	RESOURCES Materials, Estimated costs, funding sources	PERSONS RESPONSIBLE	EVALUATION (Instrument(s) used to assess)	EVIDENCE OF IMPACT O STUDENT LEARNING (Outcomes – Review at district on milestone)
of all Language Arts ntions programs for f research based eness	December 2012	What Works Clearinghouse and Florida Center of Reading Research	District Instructional Team	Reports to District Instructional Team	
entation and fidelity of adopted Language Arts um and professional oment	Aug 2012 May 2013	(K-5) Journeys through Houghton- Mifflin and (6-12) Prentice-Hall Literature Title II A, \$50,000	Director of Curriculum and Elementary Education	Principal and Director observations and evaluations	
entation of district wide process and calibration	Aug 2012 May 2013	K-12 Write Tools, Title IIA, \$60,000	Director of Curriculum and Elementary Education, Director of Assessment	District wide quarterly writing assessments in all grades and district-wide Analytical Writing Assessment grades 5, 7, & 9	

ed intervention support ents below proficiency	Aug. 2012 May 2013	Interventionist s through general funds, Title I, III, VII, and Pupil Services and researched based materials	Director of Curriculum and Elementary Education, Director of Assessment, Director of Pupil Services	Bi-weekly progress monitoring through Aims Web and Ed. Performance Series	
ring of school ement plans at the sion of each quarter	Nov. 2012, January 2013, March 2013 May 2013	School reports Aims Web and Ed. Performance Series	Directors assigned to the schools	Review of progress through observation and data collection (Aimsweb, Ed Performance)	
ed day/year learning Inities in eligible Title I 5.	Sept. 2012 June 2013	Title I SES. \$150,000	Director of Federal Programs	Student participation and pre and post tests	
on and recruitment of to participate in school incils (training d), Federal Programs tees and all school	Ongoing throughout the year.	Title I parent involvement set aside and school 710 accounts \$20,000	School Principals, Directors	Attendance and volunteer sign-ins	
warehouse and ntion icons/alert system aining provided	Begin Aug 2012 and continue throughout the year	Data Processing Dept.	Director of Curriculum and Elementary Education, Director of Assessment	Evidence of data in school reports, plans.	
red collaboration at and site levels focused ent data/interventions	Continuous throughout the year	Standardized Collaboration Protocols	Directors assigned to the schools	Observation, sample artifacts from the schools; school schedules	
ued emphasis on ing student ement through effective ional practice	Continuous throughout the year	Training provided through KPBSD Professional Development Dept., districtwide instructional coaches Title IIA \$40,000	All directors	Teacher Evaluation System	

DISTRICT IMPROVEMENT PLAN 2012-2013 School Year Complete one sheet for each goal; expand sections as appropriate

ICT MEASURABLE GOAL (to include specific target):

bgroups will meet the Math AMO either by meeting the threshold of 74.57%, or Confidence Interval, or Safe Harbor as ured on Spring 2013 SBA's

ENT PERFORMANCE LEVEL ON SBAs:

Native 67%, Economically Disadvantaged 72%, SWD 51%

ifically based research to support each strategy listed below (reference or brief description):

no's research on effective instruction; DuFour and Eaker 's research on effective collaboration and learning communities; Muhammad's wor rming school culture; Danielson's work *Enhancing Professional Practices: A Framework for teaching*.

N TO IMPLEMENT <u>strategies and</u> <u>ntions</u> (include sional development, ing, parent involvement- <u>grams</u>)	TIMELINE Milestones for current school year	RESOURCES Materials, Estimated costs, funding sources	PERSONS RESPONSIBLE	EVALUATION (Instrument(s) used to assess)	EVIDENCE OF IMPACT O STUDENT LEARNING (Outcomes – Review at district or milestone)
r and align KPBSD math um to new Alaska rds	April 2012- May 2013	Alaska state standards, Gen Ed Funds, \$10,000	Curriculum and Elementary Education Math Curriculum Adoption Committee	Curriculum revision adoption by school board.	
p district-wide quarterly ommon assessments	Sept. 2012 April 2013	Adopted materials as yet to be determined, Gen Ed. Funds, \$10,000	Curriculum and Elementary Education Curriculum Assessment Committee	Student data collected through common assessments.	
materials and es for adoption in t of curriculum.	Sept. 2012 April 2013	What Works Clearing House, NCTM	Curriculum and Elementary Education, Math Curriculum Adoption Committee	Committee survey/matrix review of materials	
& selection of all h based math ntion programs for ce of effectiveness	Sept 2012 April 2013	What Works Clearinghouse & NCTM	District Instructional Team	Report of programs to District Instructional Team	

PROGRESS MONITORING AND EVALUATION

r the implementation elity of the adopted urriculum and support als	Throughout the year	Adopted materials as yet to be determined, Title II A, \$50,000	Principals	Principal and Director observation and evaluation	
ed intervention support ents below proficiency	Throughout the year	Interventionist s funded through general funds, Title VII, and Pupil Services using research based materials, \$400,000	Curriculum and Elementary Education, Director of Pupil Services, Director of Secondary Education	Progress monitoring using Aimsweb math assessments, and Ed. Performance Series	
rly monitoring of school ement plans	Nov. 2012, January 2013, March 2013 May 2013	School reports, common assessments, Aims Web and Ed Performance	Directors assigned to schools	Review of data reported through Aimsweb, Ed Performance, Common Assessments	
ed Learning ınities through ed Day (SES)	Oct 2012 April 2013	Title I SES (4 locations) \$95,000	Director of Federal Programs	Student participation and pre and post tests	
on and recruitment of to school site councils g provided), Federal ms committees and all events	Aug-May	Title I parent involvement set aside and school 710 accounts, \$20,000	School Principals, Directors	Attendance and volunteer sign-ins	
warehouse and ntion icons/alert system aining provided	Begin Aug 2012 and continue throughout the year	Data Processing Dept.	Curriculum and Elementary Education, Director of Assessment	Evidence of data in school reports, plans.	
red collaboration at and site levels focused lent data/interventions	Continuous throughout the year	Standardized Collaboration Protocols	Directors assigned to the schools	Observation, sample artifacts from the schools; school schedules	

ued emphasis on			All Directors	Teacher Evaluation System	
ing student ement through effective ional practice	Continuous throughout the year	Training provided through KPBSD Professional Development Dept. District Wide Instructional Coaches, \$40,000	All Billottors	reaction Evaluation System	
		F -/			

DISTRICT IMPROVEMENT PLAN 2012-2013 School Year Complete one sheet for each goal; expand sections as appropriate

ICT MEASURABLE GOAL (to include specific target):

bgroups will meet the required graduation rate of 85% as identified by formula

ENT PERFORMANCE LEVEL ON SBAs:

Native/American Indian 67%, Economically Disadvantaged 67%, SWD 64%, LEP 80%

ifically based research to support each strategy listed below (reference or brief description):

o's research on effective instruction. DuFour and Eaker research on effective collaboration and learning communities. Muhammad's work or Iming school culture.

				FROGRESS WONTONING AND EVALUATION	
Strategies and ntions (include include include include include include ing, parent involvementgrams)	TIMELINE Milestones for current school year	RESOURCES Materials, Estimated costs, funding sources	PERSONS RESPONSIBLE	EVALUATION (Instrument(s) used to assess)	EVIDENCE OF IMPACT O STUDENT LEARNING (Outcomes – Review at district on milestone)
mmatic Staffing to elective courses	Continuous throughout the year	General Ed. Budget \$2.4 million	Director of Secondary Instruction		
al Learning Career or all secondary s	Started 2012, full implementati on by 2014	\$63,000 SB 84 and \$63,000 Youth First	Director of Secondary Education		
tive School Choices r Flex, Kenai Alt, de Academy etc.)	Throughout school year	General Ed. \$630,000	Director of Secondary Education, Director of Small Schools	Students completing graduation requirements	

PROGRESS MONITORING AND EVALUATION

<u></u>					
e Delivery unities	Throughout	General Ed.	Director of	Students successfully completing coursework	
	the year	\$441,000	Secondary, Director of Small Schools		
Recovery	Throughout the year	Quality Schools \$60,000	Director of Secondary Education	Data depicting number of credit hours recovered;	
tive to Out-of-School nsion (ATOSS) for high school students	Through the school year	Quality Schools \$24,000	Director of Secondary Education	Program Attendance,	
School tutors	Throughout the school year	Quality Schools \$141,000	Director of Secondary Education	Increase in student achievement as reported through Ed. Performance	
 & selection of all b based intervention ns for evidence of eness 	Sept 2012 May 2013	General Ed.	Director of Curriculum		
warehouse and ntion icons/alert system aining provided	Begin Aug 2012 and continue throughout the year	Data Processing Dept.	Director of Assessment, Director of Elementary Education	Evidence of data in school reports, plans.	
red collaboration at and site levels focused lent data/interventions	Continuous throughout the year	Standardized Collaboration Protocols	Directors assigned to the schools	Observation, sample artifacts from the schools; school schedules	
ied emphasis on ing student ment through effective ional practice	Continuous throughout the year	Training provided through KPBSD Professional Development Dept. District Wide Instructional Coached	All Directors	Teacher Evaluation System	
on and recruitment of to school site councils g provided), Federal ms committees and all events	Aug-May	Title I parent involvement set aside and school 710 accounts \$5000	School Principals, Directors	Attendance and volunteer sign-ins	