Kenai Peninsula Borough School District

SMART Goal for Strategy #1
Soldotna Elementary



Area of Focus #1:	Rigor: All studen	ts will achieve high levels	s of academic growth.			
Strategy #1:	Student growth a	nd success will be determ	ined through multiple me	asures of learning.		
Step 1: Write a SMART Goal	in the space provi	ided below for this strateg	y			
Every teacher in K-6 will set student achievement goals established by MAP data. Teachers will meet with individual students to evaluate progress. This will occur 3 times a year.						
Step 2: Give detail to the SMA	ART Goal by com	pleting the actions bank be				
Action Steps: What will be done? Include: professional development, ongoing communication with stakeholders, progress monitoring, and mid-year adjustments.	Owner: Who is responsible for leading or coordinating this action step?	Timeline: When will this be accomplished?	Evidence of the Action: What does success look like? What will be the evidence the action step occurred, the data indicating progress, or the indicator of success?	Results: Share results, obersvations, comments, etc.		
Create individual student goal based on MAP data	Teachers	2018-2019	Goal Setting Sheets, MAP data			
Meet with students to discuss individual goals	Teachers	After each benchmark period September 2018, January 2019, and May 2019	Goal Setting Sheets			
Step 3: At mid-year and EOY, repeat this process by reflecting upon your progress and considering next steps						
MID-YEAR DISCUSSION: [Date]						
Area of Focus		Record Discuss	ion Notes Here	Select a current ranking for your school in this area		

Rigor Goal: All students will achieve high levels of academic rigor. Key Discussion Questions: - In what ways do our instructional strategies create rigor in the classroom? - How well does do our metrics measure student performance? - Is student growth and success determined through multiple measures of learning? - What steps might we take to increase the rigor of our classrooms?		All teachers met and discussed individual student goal setting. For the majority of teachers individual math goals were established and will be revisited in the spring. Some teachers chose specific sub areas to set goals in for all students ie. (operations and algibraic thinking) while others chose goals based on weaknesses.		Developing
Action Steps: What will be done?	Owner: Who will do it?	Timeline: When will this be accomplished?	Evidence of the Action: What does success look like?	Results: Share attempts and wins
Winter MAP bench mark data will be used	All classroom teachers	January	100% tested	All were tested
Goals will be reevaluated	All classroom teachers	January-March	Inservice times	completed
		EOY DISCU	JSSION: [Date]	
Area of Focus		Record Discussion Notes Here		Select a current ranking for your school in this area
Rigor Goal: All students will achieve high levels of academic rigor. Key Discussion Questions: - In what ways do our instructional strategies create rigor in the classroom? - How well does do our metrics measure student performance? - Is student growth and success determined through multiple measures of learning? - What steps might we take to increase the rigor of our classrooms?		After completing this exercise it appears that younger students benefitted more than older students. Younger students were able to gain more points. We would like the ability to report on goals in MAP. We asked NWEA for this feature but were unable to attain a goal settingprogress report at this time.		Developing

Kenai Peninsula Borough School District

SMART Goal for Strategy #2
Soldotna Elementary



Area of Focus #2:	Data Driven Asse	essment					
Strategy #2:	Teachers' efficacy will be measured using student performance data.						
Students will positively regulate thei	Students will positively regulate their behavior and follow school rules leading to decreased office discipline referrals as measured in powerschool						
	Step 2: Give detail to the SMART Goal by completing the actions bank below						
Action Steps: What will be done? Include: professional development, ongoing communication with stakeholders, progress monitoring, and mid-year adjustments.	Owner: Who is responsible for leading or coordinating this action step?	Timeline: When will this be accomplished?	Evidence of the Action: What does success look like? What will be the evidence the action step occurred, the data indicating progress, or the indicator of success?	Results: Share results, obersvations, comments, etc.			
PBIS behavior matrix	PBIS Team	Every two weeks	PBIS meeting notes				
Check in check out will occur as	DDIG T		pbis meeting notes, check in				
needed Students with IEP behavior minutes will be taught behavior management strategies in special ed classrooms	PBIS Team Special ed case manager	Every two weeks weekly	check out data ODR reports in power school				
Teaching PBISbehavior lesson plans quarterly	PBIS Team/teachers	quarterly	PBIS meeting notes, lesson plan teaching schedule				
quarterly	r Dis Team/teachers	quarterry	pian teaching schedule				
Step 3: At mid-year and EOY	Step 3: At mid-year and EOY, repeat this process by reflecting upon your progress and considering next steps						
		,					
MID-YEAR DISCUSSION: [Date]							
Area of Focus		Record Discussion Notes Here		Select a current ranking for your school in this area			

Rigor		Significant improvement in office referrals. Last year there were very few days without students being sent to the office, this year there are many. By mid year, we had markedly fewer referrals.		Advancing
Action Steps: What will be done?	Owner: Who will do it?	Timeline: When will this be accomplished?	Evidence of the Action: What does success look like?	Results: Share attempts and wins
staff will continue to support students needs through PBIS	all staff	ongoing	usage of CICO and other supports	
Students with behavioral concerns will be supported with RTI	all staff	ongoing	referrals to team	
		EOV DISCI	ISSION: [Data]	
Area of Focus		EOY DISCUSSION: [Date] Record Discussion Notes Here		Select a current ranking for your school in this area
Rigor		SoEl went from 256 office referrals in SY 18 to 143 this year, a reduction of 113. It is estimated that every office referral results in a student being out of class at a minimum for 30 minutes, and that 15 minutes of administrator time is taken for each referral (likely much more). Your school had an additional 3,390 minutes, or 56.5 hours of active engaged class time for your students, and likely for ones who most needed that learning time.		Advancing